

УДК 372.4
ББК 74.268

DOI: 10.33065/2307-1052-2018-4-26-55-60

Коммуникативные и речевые компетенции учащихся: наилучшее время формирования по мнению учителей¹

Тодорова Десислава,

аспирантка педагогического факультета, кафедра дидактики, Софийский университет им. святого Климента Охридского, г. София, Болгария

Аннотация. Цель данного исследования – проанализировать полученную от учителей информацию о развитии коммуникативной речевой компетенции у учащихся на ранних этапах, выяснить способность педагогов оценивать становление языковой компетентности учащихся. В качестве диагностического инструмента исследования была использована анкета. С опорой на полученные результаты можно заявить, что учителя должны быть в состоянии чётко оценивать способности учащихся уже на базовом уровне коммуникативной речевой компетенции детей, что в дальнейшем поможет точно определять содержание и уровень сложности образовательного контента для учащихся.

Ключевые слова: коммуникативная речевая компетенция, болгарский язык и литература, базовый уровень образования.

Is Communication Speech Competence Formed during Primary School Education?

Todorova Desislava,

PhD Student, Faculty of Education, Department of Didactics, Sofia University «St. Kliment Ohridski», Sofia, Bulgaria

Annotation. This study aims to present and analyze the information provided by teachers. The issue is the development of communication speech competence within primary school education. Questionnaire was used as a diagnostic tool. Based on the experience gained, it can be stated that teachers should be able to clearly evaluate the interference of the language at the basic level of communicative speech competence, which will further help to consider the level of complexity of educational content for students.

Keywords: communication speech competence, Bulgarian language and literature, basic level of Education.

The teachers are a supportive research group that raises interest mostly because of objective information that could provide many of the issues related to the educational system in Bulgarian schools. Proceeding from their experience, teachers should be able

1. The research is funded by the funds allocated to the state budget of Sofia University «St. Kliment Ohridski» for research in 2018. The research was conducted under the scientific guidance of Prof. Nely Ivanova.

to provide a clear assessment of the interference into students' communication speech competence within the initial stage of education. It may help to consider easy and difficult characteristics of educational content. This would be useful to improve the educational process and to give a clear picture of the level which students may get during the period of basic formation of linguistic knowledge.

The study includes completing the poll with the views of teachers on forming communication speech competence of students. It was conducted in March, April and May 2018.

Methodology and methods: [Bijkov, Kraevski 1999; Gospodinov 2016; Ivanova 2014; Zdravkova 2005; Communication competence... 2005; National statistic institute 2018].

The experiment was representative for the country and its purpose is to cover the test group at maximum volume. Teachers from different regions of the country are selected (from the capital city, a regional city and a small city). These are the teachers working at the initial stages of the primary education.

The schools were randomly selected using the Internet application «www.google.com». Communication was done face to face or during the phone conversation. The arrangements were fulfilled with the help of the school principal, it was done by sending e-mails and maintaining the communication until the arrival of completed questionnaires from different parts of the country.

The responsiveness and commitment of pedagogical staff is great, but it is also necessary to consider the limitations of their time due to the end of the year.

The survey is representative for the territory of Bulgaria and involves 18 schools in the country. 56 schools are in Sofia: SU «Prof. K. Irechek», 135. SU «Jan Amos Comenski», 90. SU «General Jose de San Martin», 137. SU «Angel Kunchev», 119. SU «Accad. Mihail Arnaudov» and 16 OU «Rayko Zhinzifov», also there were several schools from regional cities: OU «Elisaveta Bagriana» (Sliven), 4th OU «Ivan Vazov» (Montana), OU «St. St. Kyril and Methodii» (Gabrovo), SU «Otets Paisii» and I-St SU «St. St. Kyril and Methodii» (town of Smolyan), OU «St. St. Kyril and Methodii» (Varna), SU «Bratya Miladinovi» (Plovdiv), First primary school «St. Kliment Ohridski» (Sandanski), OU «Anton Strashimirov» and OU «Brothers Miladinovi» (Burgas), SU «Hristo Botev» (Ruse) and Primary school «Hristo Botev» (Pleven).

242 teachers from the country took part in the experiment: 56.17 % are the representatives from Sofia schools and 45.21 % are the representatives from regional schools (see Chart No. 1.).




Chart No. 1. Number of teachers surveyed in the country.

Not all the teacher offered to take part in the experiment expressed a desire to be involved, so the following data analysis is made on the basis of the completed questionnaires returned by 73 teachers (30 %) of the group (see Table No. 1.).

	Frequency	Percent
56. SU – Sofia	5	6,8
135. SU – Sofia	10	13,7
90. SU – Sofia	4	5,5
16. OU – Sofia	9	12,3
OU «EL. Bagriana» – Sliven	10	13,7
4-th OU «Ivan Vazov» – Montana	14	19,2
137. SU – Sofia	11	15,1
119. SU – Sofia	2	2,7
OU «St. St. Kyril and Metodii» – Gabrovo	8	11,0
Total	73	100,0

Table No. 1. Number of teachers surveyed of schools.

The questionnaire for the teachers includes the introduction part with reference to the respondents, a description of the purpose of the survey, informing them of the anonymity of responses and susceptibility to confidence; instructions for filling in the questionnaire; A – allegations of response, in accordance to the five-score rating scale (very low, low, medium, high, very high); Part B – expressions of opinion; Part C – expressions of a preference with the possibility of mentioning the multiple response and Part D - demographic part of sex and age, education, birth place of the people surveyed, current date and gratitude for participating.

Allegations in part A are distributed evenly: formation of machine-readable technique (claims 1 and 16), forming writing skills (allegation 6, 7, 11, 12 and 14), forming speaking skills (allegations 3, 4, 5, 8 and 13) and forming listening/understanding skills (allegation 2, 9, 10 and 15).

Answers in part B serve to express the opinion about the methods used in schools, as well as tools, methods and forms of work, facilitating the formation of communication speech competence. Part C serves for expressing criticism.

Gender of the target group also differs. 67 teachers (91.78 %) are female, 2 teachers (2.74 %) are male and 4 teachers (5.48 %) didn't mention this information. The above mentioned data clearly shows the feminization of the profession and commitment of this group in terms of educating and training the younger generation.

The age of teachers varies from 25 to 34 [7 teachers (9.60 %)], from 35 to 44 [21 teachers (28.70 %)], from 45 to 60 years [39 teachers (53.30 %)]. 6 teachers (8.20 %) didn't mention this information. This proves the lack of young teachers in the educational system, but the study would be extremely helpful and professionally oriented so that to change something in the recent system. The senior age of some participants shows an approaching demographic collapse and the need to encourage and engage young professionals in the long run.

It is important to note the education of teachers. 66 teachers (90.40 %) have higher education, 2 teachers (2.70 %) have college education, 5 teachers (6.80 %) didn't mention this information.

The birthplace of teachers is the following: 18 teachers (24.70 %) are from a big city, 33 teachers (45.20 %) are from a regional city, 12 teachers (16.40 %) are from a small town and 5 teachers (6.80 %) are from a village. 5 teachers (6.80 %) didn't mention this information. This information shows that teachers come mainly from large cities, probably it happens because they move from a small town to a large city in order to practice their chosen profession.

Using the questionnaire cards of the teachers there was made mathematical and statistical procedures, as a result there was established a significant correlation between the age and the place of living (0.447**). This confirms the fact that with age increasing, the population is oriented to more crowded areas and vice versa.

Other significant correlations (from 0.254* to 0.270* and from 0.320** to 0.629**) confirm the content validity of the study and the relationship between each of the partitions in the language. It reinforces the need for their parallel formation.

According to the teachers, knowledge of reading techniques is formed at the level of 44 (60.27 %), as well as their ability to use reference books (encyclopedias, atlases, glossaries, etc.) at the level of 29 (39.72 %), so the average level is 25 (34.24 %).

The skills of listening and understanding are also measured: a student's ability to use the basic speech and language unit (34 (46.57%) as a high and 34 (46.57 %) as the average degree); the ability to arrange sentences according to their meaning and to understand what they read (39 (53.42 %) as a high level and 27 (36.99 %) as a middle one); skill to use adequate means of expression for the realization of communicative aim (27 (36.99 %) as a high level and 43 (58.90 %) as a middle one) and the ability to hear and respect the opinions of others (23 (31.51 %) as a high level and 38 (52.05 %) as a medium one). These ratios show objectively the real opportunities for pupils in primary school, the former are age- and psycho-physiologically determined. Their ability to listen and understand depends on their social environment and life experience.

While forming speaking skills, according to teachers, it is important to take into account the communication with their classmates (38 (52.05 %) at a high level and 26 (35.62 %) at the average level); communication with older children (36 (49.31 %) as a high one and 30 (41.10 %) as a low one); ability to respond orally to questions (45 (61.64 %) VS 23 (31.51 %)); the ability to utter any sound properly (34 (46.57 %) VS 31 (42.46 %)) and the ability to interpret orally the text they read (23 (31.50 %) VS 38 (52.05 %)). As it is possible to notice most of those allegations can be received at a high level of formation. This may probably happen due to the absorption of the oral speech to written.

According to teachers, the formation of the written speech includes the skills to compile a short text (41 (56.16 %) on the average, 21 as a high level (28.77 %) and 9 (12.33 %) as a lower level). The knowledge how to properly pick and connect the letters and the penmanship skills are formed mainly at the medium level [44 (60.27 %)] and at a high level [21 (28.77 %)]. Skills of the students to read explained artistic work are the following: (37 (50.68 %) and 31 (42.46 %)), their skills to create works in their different varieties (40 (54.79 %) on the average, 20 (27.40 %) and 10 (13.70 %)), as well as their writing skills to interpret a text (47 (64.38 %) on the average, 12 (16.44 %) VS 9 (12.33 %)). Impression refers to the low level of building writing skills, albeit in a minimum percentage of teachers.

If it is necessary to speed the difficulty in descending order the activities carried out in the form of speech (see Diagram No. 2.), then this would be the reading skills (58.90 %), speaking skills (54.22 %), skills for listening / understanding (46.58 %) and skills for writing (29.34 %).




Chart No. 2. Formation of the main speech activities of the students, according to the teachers.

The diagram shows the necessity to combine speaking and listening/understanding as an active speech and the activities of reading and writing as a passive form. Only then it is possible to achieve a balance in formation of the communication speech competence.

According to teachers, the most preferred working methods that facilitate the formation of communication speech competence are talking 50 (68.49 %), creative work 49 (67.12 %), interactive methods and exercises 47 (64.38 %), examination of the illustrations 35 (47.95 %), narrative 27 (36.99 %), work with scale models or models 20 (27.40 %), self-study 15 (20.55 %). Dialogical methods are the preferred working methods through which we may form communication speech competencies of the students more effectively. They may be mixed up with some forms of a game / contest, modeling real situations, imitation, dramatization and acting out.

According to teachers, the most appropriate means of forming communication speech competences at students are the school notebook 53 (72.60 %), board 45 (61.64 %) textbook and computer 44 (60.27 %). High percentage and nearby values for each one of the categories show their importance within the formation of communication speech competence of students. Other categories are the inclusion of interactive whiteboard, further reading, reading books, teaching aids, fiction, collections, cutting the notebook and / or drawn maps with the basic elements of the subject, worksheets.

The most commonly used procedures of work while forming communication speech competence are speech analyzing 36 (49.31 %), recognition of words, letters, sounds 15 (20.55 %), composing the lyrics 56 (76.71 %), text conversion 47 (64.38 %). To sum it up, we can conclude that the group of students are representatives mainly from the second, third or fourth grade, but some of them are also from the first grade. Formation of communication speech competence through an active work is a skill requiring systematic preparation of students and building a high level of competencies.

According to teachers, the most suitable pattern is group forms of work (teacher-students) – 60 (82.19 %). The individual forms of work (teacher-student) are 43 (58.90%) and frontal (the teacher directs students) are 30 (41.09 %). Working by couples (working in pairs) is 27 (36.98 %). The idea of teachers shall be limited to the traditional understanding

of teaching a class in terms of their individual, frontal and group work.

Favorite genres of oral and written texts, according to school teachers, are more narrative series of paintings 46 (63.01 %), the composition experiencing incidents 39 (53.42 %), penning the tale 35 (47.95 %), retelling of fiction 30 (41.09 %), essay on the creative task 23 (31.51%), manufacture of greeting card message, invitation, letter 21 (28.77 %) composition in observation 17 (23.29 %) composition in verbal support 14 (19.17 %) and composition-reflection 3 (4.11 %). The specified genre diversity indicates the desire of students to create, compose and write a variety of texts to improve their skills in speech development. Children prefer texts, which are close to their experience and their daily life. They do not prefer abstract tasks, requiring the inclusion of reflections.

All in all, we can summarize that for teachers it is important:

- To develop students' reading literacy skills, and to facilitate the formation of communication speech competence.
- To form speech activities – oral (speaking and listening) and written (reading and writing) ones in parallel.
- To include the working tasks so that to come closer to the experience and daily life of pupils for easier formation of communication speech competence.
- To keep in mind that opportunities for pupils in primary school are age- and psycho-physiologically determined.
- To remember that skills of students to speak are affordable and achievable by all students at a high level.
- To put the emphasis on training the Bulgarian language and literature, in accordance to the development of writing skills of the students.
- To master talking and listening as active activities combined with reading and writing in their passive form.

References:

1. Bijkov G., Kraevski V. Methodology and methods of pedagogical research. Sofia-Moskow: UP «St. Kliment Ohridski», 1999.
2. Gospodinov B. A scientific pedagogical research. Methodological, technological and methodical aspects. Sofia: UP «St. Kliment Ohridski», 2016.
3. Ivanova N. The education in Bulgarian language and literature at the initial stage of basic education. Sofia: Riva, 2014.
4. Zdravkova St. The education of Bulgarian language and literature in the primary school. Shumen: UP «Ep. K. Preslawski», 2005.
5. Communication competence in the modern science discourse (Collection in the honor of 70-anniversary of prof. Kiril Dimchev). Sofia: Bulvest, 2005.
6. National statistic institute. [Электронный ресурс]. // URL: <http://www.nsi.bg/bg/content/3440> (дата обращения 11.03.2018).